## MULTI-VALUED LOOPS, GEOMETRIES, AND ALGEBRAIC LOGIC Stephen D. Comer \*

ABSTRACT. A notion of multi-valued loop is introduced. The multi-valued loop associated with a finite projective geometry with at least 3 points on a line is isomorphic to a "quotient" of an ordinary loop modulo a special equivalence relation. An application to algebraic logic is given.

A multi-valued loop is a system  $(A, \cdot, e)$  where  $e \in A$  and for each  $a, b \in A$ ,  $a \cdot b$  is a nonempty set of elements of A such that

- (i) for each a,b there exist x,y such that  $b \in a \cdot x$  and  $b \in y \cdot a$ ,
- (ii) for every  $a, a \cdot e = \{a\} = e \cdot a$ , and
- (iii) for every a there exist unique x,y such that  $e \in a \cdot x$  and  $e \in y \cdot a$ .

Multi-valued loops can be viewed as special multi-groupoids as introduced in Bruck [1]. Other multi-valued concepts that have appeared in the literature, e.g., the notion of multigroup due to Dresher and Ore [2] and the notion of cogroup due to Eaton [3], seem to be unrelated to the notion considered here. However, examples of multi-valued loops readily arise in the study of groups, geometry, and algebraic logic to mention a few areas. This paper will deal with multi-valued loops associated with projective geometries and with a relationship between multi-valued loops and representations of atomic structures of certain 3-dimensional cylindric algebras. Our main result states that the multi-valued loop associated with a finite projective geometry that has at least three points on a line can be obtained as a quotient of an ordinary loop modulo a special equivalence relation. In the last section we apply this result to partially answer a question concerning algebraic logic raised by Monk in [5]. The author wishes to acknowledge the valuable suggestions of the referee concerning the presentation of the main construction.

1. A projective geometry is a system G = (P,L) where P is a non-empty set (called the "points" of G), L is a non-empty collection of subsets of P (called the "lines" of G) and the following axioms hold:

<sup>\*</sup>This paper was supported in part by a grant from the Citadel Development Foundation.

- (G<sub>1</sub>) each line contains at least three points;
- $(G_2)$  each pair of distinct points p and q lie on a unique line  $\overline{pq}$ ;
- $(G_3)$  if p, q, r, and s are distinct points and  $\overline{pq}$  and  $\overline{rs}$  have a common point, then  $\overline{pr}$  and  $\overline{qs}$  have a common point.

Notice that the notion of projective geometry allows dimension 1,2, or higher; e.g., see Seidenberg [6].

A multi-valued loop can be constructed from a projective geometry G in the following way. Choose  $e \notin P$  and let  $A = \{e\} \cup P$ . Denote by  $L_G$  the system  $(A, ^*, e)$  where  $p * e = e * p = \{p\}$  for all  $p \in A$  and for  $p, q \in P$ 

$$p*q = \begin{cases} \{e,p\} & \text{if } p = q \\ pq - \{p,q\} & \text{if } p \neq q \end{cases}$$

Clearly  $L_G$  is a multi-valued loop.

A multi-valued loop can also be constructed from an ordinary loop via quotient construction. Suppose  $A = (A, \cdot, e)$  is a loop and  $\theta$  is an equivalence relation on A. The product XY of two blocks (i.e., equivalence classes) of  $\theta$  is XY =  $\{x \cdot y \in A : x \in X, y \in Y\}$ .

A block  $B \subseteq XY$  is called (X,Y)-projective if for every  $x \in X$ ,  $xy \in B$  for some  $y \in Y$  and, similarly, for every  $y \in Y$  there is an  $x \in X$  with  $xy \in B$ . We call  $\theta$  special if  $\{e\}$  is one of the  $\theta$ -blocks, and every product XY of  $\theta$ -blocks is a union of (X,Y)-projective blocks. Special equivalence relations need not be congruence relations.

PROPOSITION 1. For a loop  $A = (A, \cdot, e)$  and a special equivalence relation  $\theta$  on A, let  $A/\theta = (A/\theta, *, E)$  where  $A/\theta$  is the set of all  $\theta$ -blocks of A,  $E = e/\theta = \{e\}$ , and for blocks X, Y, B

$$B \in X * Y \text{ iff } B \subset XY.$$

Then  $A/\theta$  is a multi-valued loop. We refer to  $A/\theta$  as a quotient of A.

The proof is routine. To illustrate we verify (iii). For blocks X,Y, note that  $\{e\}\subseteq XY$  iff e = xy for some  $x \in X$  and  $y \in Y$ . For  $x \in X$ , by (iii) for A, e = xy for some  $y \in A$ ; thus,  $\{e\}\subseteq XY$  for  $Y = y/\theta$ . If also  $\{e\}\subseteq XY'$ , then since  $\{e\}$  is (X,Y')-projective, e = xy' for some  $y' \in Y'$ . By (iii) for A, this implies that y = y'. Hence Y = Y'.

Not every multi-valued loop is isomorphic to a quotient  $A/\theta$  of an ordinary loop. PROPOSITION 2. There does not exist a loop A and a special equivalence relation  $\theta$  on A such that  $A/\theta$  is isomorphic to the 3 element multi-valued loop whose multiplication table is given below.

PROOF. Every quotient  $A/\theta$  satisfies the following property (see Proposition 1 for notation):

(1) 
$$\forall B[\forall X(B \in B * X \rightarrow X = E) \rightarrow \forall X(B \in X * B \rightarrow X = E)].$$

Fix  $B \in A/\theta$  and suppose that, for all  $X,B \subseteq BX$  implies X = E; in addition, assume that  $B \subseteq YB$ . We must show that Y = E. Choose  $b \in B$ . Since B is (Y,B)-projective,  $yb \in B$  for some  $y \in Y$ . Now yb = bx for some x. If  $X = x/\theta$ , then  $yb \in B \cap BX$ ; thus, since  $\theta$  is special,  $B \subseteq BX$ . By hypothesis, this implies  $X = E = \{e\}$ ; hence, x = e and so yb = bx = be = b. Since A is a loop, this implies y = e. Hence y = E and so yb = B.

The 3 element multi-valued loop above has the property asserted in the proposition since it fails to satisfy (1). This can be seen by choosing B = 3; clearly  $\forall x (3 \in 3 \cdot x \rightarrow x = 1)$  but  $3 \in 2 \cdot 3$  while  $2 \neq 1$ .

2. Our main result is the construction of a loop  $L=(L,\cdot,q)$  and a special equivalence relation  $\theta$  on L from a finite geometry G so that  $L_G \cong L/\theta$ .

We first consider the case where G is a finite geometry with  $N \geqslant 4$  points on each line. Order the set P of points of G as  $p_1,p_2,...$ . For each  $p_i$  choose a set  $A_i = \{a_{i,1},...,a_{i,N-2}\}$  with N-2 elements in such a way that  $A_i \cap A_j = 0$  whenever  $i \neq j$ . Choose q distinct from all  $a_{i,k}$ 's and set  $L = \{q\} \cup \bigcup_i A_i$ . Let  $\theta$  be the equivalence relation on L that has  $\{q\}$  and the  $A_i$ 's as its equivalence classes.

For  $i \neq j$ , suppose  $\overline{p_i p_j} = \{p_{h_1}, ..., p_{h_N}\}$  where  $h_1 < h_2 < ... < h_N$ . Let  $\overline{p_i p_j}^*$  result from permuting  $(p_{h_1}, ..., p_{h_N})$  cyclically to begin with  $p_i$ , and then deleting  $p_i$  and  $p_j$ . Let  $\beta^{ij}(k)$  be the subscript of the kth term in  $\overline{p_i p_j}^*$ ; that is,

$$\overline{\mathbf{p}_i \mathbf{p}_j}^* = (\mathbf{p}_{\beta^{ij}(1)}, \dots, \mathbf{p}_{\beta^{ij}(N-2)}).$$

For a finite subset F of P and  $p_i \in F$  we say that  $p_i$  has rank n in F if  $p_i$  is the nth element in F (under the linear ordering induced by the subscripts).

Still assuming  $i \neq j$ , for each  $p_m \in \overline{p_i p_i}$  -  $\{p_j, p_j\}$ , let  $\gamma^{ij}(m)$  be the rank of  $p_i$  in  $\overline{\mathfrak{p}_i\overline{\mathfrak{p}_j}}\text{ - }\{\mathfrak{p}_i,\mathfrak{p}_m\}\text{ and let }\rho^i(\mathfrak{j})\text{ be the rank of }\mathfrak{p}_j\text{ in }\overline{\mathfrak{p}_i\overline{\mathfrak{p}_j}}\text{. If }\mathfrak{p}_i,\mathfrak{p}_j\text{ and }\mathfrak{p}_m\text{ are three distinct }$ collinear points, notice that  $\rho^{i}(j) = \rho^{m}(j)$ . In addition we find that

$$(+) \qquad \gamma^{ij}(m) = \begin{cases} \rho^{j}(j) & \text{if } j < i, m \\ \rho^{j}(j) - 1 & \text{if } i < j < m \text{ or } m < j < 1 \\ \rho^{i}(j) - 2 & \text{if } i, m < j. \end{cases}$$

In the following, the second subscript k on aik should be regarded as an integer modulo N-1. Thus, sums and differences involving these subscripts should be calculated mod N-1.

Now we introduce a binary operation  $\cdot$  on L. First let  $q \cdot a = a = a \cdot q$  for every  $a \in$ L. Next we define

$$a_{ik} \cdot a_{i\ell} = \begin{cases} a_{i, \ k+\ell} & \text{if } k+\ell \neq 0 \text{ (mod } N-1) \\ q & \text{if } k+\ell \neq 0 \text{ (mod } N-1) \end{cases}$$
 Finally, if  $i \neq j$ , we define  $a_{ik} \cdot a_{j\ell} = a_{mn}$  where 
$$(\Delta) \ m = \begin{cases} \beta^{ij}(k+\ell-1) & \text{if } i < j \\ \beta^{ij}(k+\ell) & \text{if } i > j \end{cases}$$
 and

$$(\Delta) m = \begin{cases} \beta^{ij}(k + \ell - 1) & \text{if } i < j \\ \beta^{ij}(k + \ell) & \text{if } i > j \end{cases}$$

$$n = \gamma^{ij}(m) + k - 1 \pmod{N-1}$$
.

For the case where the geometry G has exactly three points on each line the  $(L,\cdot,q)$  constructed above will also be a loop; however, the equivalence relation  $\theta$  is the identity in this case and so this construction does not produce the desired isomorphism  $L_G \stackrel{\sim}{=} L/\theta$  when N = 3. A construction that works for N = 3 is similar to the above but simpler. As before order the set P of all points of G as  $p_1, p_2, ...;$  now choose pairwise disjoint two element sets  $A_i = \{a_{i0}, a_{i1}\}$  for each  $p_i$ . Choose q distinct from all  $a_{ik}$ 's and define L and  $\theta$  as before using the present q and  $A_i$ 's. The binary operation on L is now defined so that q is the identity,  $a_{ik} \cdot a_{i\ell} = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} q & \text{if } k \neq \ell \\ \\ a_{ik+1} & \text{if } k = \ell \end{array} \right.$ 

$$a_{ik} \cdot a_{i\ell} = \begin{cases} q & \text{if } k \neq \ell \\ a_{ik+1} & \text{if } k = \ell \end{cases}$$

(second subscript calculated mod 2) and, if  $i \neq j$ ,  $a_{ik} \cdot a_{j\ell} = a_{mn}$  where  $p_m$  is the unique third point on  $\overline{p_i p_i}$  and  $n = k + \ell \pmod{2}$ .

THEOREM 3. (i)  $L = (L, \cdot, q)$  is a loop;

- (ii)  $\theta$  is a special equivalence relation on L;
- (iii)  $L_{G} \stackrel{\sim}{=} L/\theta$ .

PROOF. We give the details of the arguments only in the case where L is constructed from a G with  $N \ge 4$  points on a line; the arguments when N = 3 are similar but simpler.

(i) We must show that, given z and one of x and y, the condition that xy = z determines the other uniquely. The case that any of x,y, or z is q is immediate. Let  $x = a_{ik}$ ,  $y = a_{jk}$ ,  $z = a_{mn}$ . If two of i,j,m are the same all three are the same, and the conclusion is again immediate. We suppose henceforth that i,j, and m are all different.

CASE 1:  $a_{ik}$  and  $a_{mn}$  given. Since, for fixed i and m,  $\gamma^{ij}(m)$  increases monotonically with j, there exists a unique  $p_j \in \overline{p_i p_m} - \{p_j, p_m\}$  such that  $\gamma^{ij}(m) + k - 1 = n \pmod{N-1}$ . Similarly, with m,i, and j now given, there is a unique h such that  $\beta^{ij}(h) = m$ . If i < j we determine  $\ell$  uniquely from the condition that  $h = k + \ell - 1 \pmod{N-1}$ , and, if i > j, from the condition  $h = k + \ell \pmod{N-1}$ .

CASE 2:  $a_{j\ell}$  and  $a_{mn}$  given. We first assume that j < m. Then (+) becomes

$$\gamma^{ij}(m) = \begin{cases} \rho^{i}(j) - 1 & \text{if } i < j \\ \\ \rho^{i}(j) & \text{if } i > j. \end{cases}$$

We seek i and k such that

- (I)  $\gamma^{ij}(m) = n k + 1$ ,
- (II) either  $\beta^{ij}(k + \ell 1) = m$  and i < j, or  $\beta^{ij}(k + \ell) = m$  and i > j.

In view of (+) and  $\rho^{i}(j) = \rho^{m}(j)$  we may rewrite (I) as

(I') either 
$$k = n - \rho^{m}(j) + 2$$
 and  $i < j$ , or  $k = n - \rho^{m}(j) + 1$  and  $i > j$ .

Using (I') to eliminate k, we are left with the condition

(II') 
$$\beta^{ij}(n - \rho^{m}(i) + \ell + 1) = m$$
,

which is independent of whether i < j or i > j. Here (II'), as an equation in the known quantities j,  $\ell$ , m and n together with the unknown i, has a unique solution for i. With this value for i, condition (I') yields a unique solution for k.

The case j > m is entirely analogous.

- (ii). It suffices to show that, for  $i\neq j$ ,  $A_iA_j$  is a union of  $(A_i,A_j)$ -projective blocks  $A_m$ . Multiplication defines a map  $\mu\colon A_ixA_j\to \cup_{m\neq i,j}A_m$ . The formula  $(\Delta)$  shows that each m occurs for some choice of k and  $\ell$ . The formula  $n=\gamma^{ij}(m)+k-1$  (mod N-1) shows that, while keeping  $k+\ell$  and therefore m fixed, by varying k we can obtain every value of n. Thus the map  $\mu$  is onto. It remains to show that each  $A_m$ , for  $m\neq i,j$ , is  $(A_i,A_j)$ -projective. We must show that for all  $a_{ik}$  there exist  $a_{j\ell}$  such that  $a_{ik}a_{i\ell}\in A_m$  (and symmetrically); but this results again from the formula  $(\Delta)$ .
  - (iii) We define a function f:  $L_G \to L/\theta$  as follows. For a  $\in L_G$ ,

$$f(a) = \begin{cases} \{q\} & \text{if } a = e \end{cases}$$

$$A_i & \text{if } a = p_i \in P$$

It is straightforward to show that f is an isomorphism of  $L_G$  onto  $L/\theta$ .

3. We now consider one of many applications of multi-valued loops to the study of cylindric algebras. An extensive study of the algebraic theory of cylindric algebras is given in Henkin, Monk, Tarski [4]; however, it would suffice for the reader to be familiar with the survey article [5]. To produce a non-representable  $CA_3$  in [5], Monk associated an algebra with a quasigroup having 3 distinquished elements. The same construction (repeated below) gives a  $CA_3$  for each multi-valued loop. For a multi-valued loop  $A = (A, \cdot, e)$  consider the structure  $B^A = (S(R), \cup, \cap, \sim, 0, R, c_i, d_{ij})_{i,j} < 3$  where  $R = \{(x_0, x_1, x_2) \in A^3 : x_2 \in x_0 \cdot x_1\}$ , (i.e., R is the graph of  $\cdot$  viewed as a subset of  $A^3$ ), and for  $X \subseteq R$  and i,j < 3,

$$c_i X = \{ y \in R : y_i = x_i \text{ for some } x \in X \},$$

and

$$d_{ij} = \begin{cases} R & \text{if } i = j \\ \\ c_k \{(e,e,e)\} & \text{if } \{i,j,k\} = \{0,1,2\}. \end{cases}$$

The proof of the next result is routine, e.g., see Monk [5].

PROPOSITION 4.  $B^A$  is a  $CA_3$  for every multi-valued loop A.

We say that a  $CA_3B$  is *loop representable* if there is an ordinary loop A such that B is embeddable in  $B^A$ . In [5], such a B is called an A, q -  $CA_3$ . There is no loss by

considering loops in place of quasigroups because isotopic systems induce isomorphic CA<sub>3</sub>'s and, for every specified triple in the graph of a quasigroup, there is an isotopy of the quasigroup to a loop that maps the triple to the identity triple (see Bruck [1]).

In [5] Monk also associated a  $\operatorname{CA}_3A_G$  with every projective geometry G having at least 4 points on a line. It is routine to verify that  $A_G \cong B^LG$  by examining the atomic structures of the two algebras. The question of finding a connection between the loop representable  $\operatorname{CA}_3$ 's and the  $A_G$ 's was raised in [5]. As an application of Theorem 3 the following shows that the finite  $A_G$ 's are loop representable.

THEOREM 5. For every loop A and special equivalence relation  $\theta$  on A,  $B^{A/\theta}$  is isomorphic to a subalgebra of  $B^A$ .

PROOF. Suppose  $A = (A, \cdot, e)$  is a loop,  $\theta$  a special equivalence relation on A, and  $R = \{(B_0, B_1, B_2) \in (A/\theta)^3 \colon B_2 \in B_0 * B_1\}$  is the graph of the operation \* of  $A/\theta$ . For  $(B_0, B_1, B_2) \in R$ , define

$$a_{B0,B1,B2} = \{ (x,y,z) \in A^3 : x \in B_0, y \in B_1, z = x \cdot y \in B_2 \}$$

Clearly,  $a_{B0,B1,B2} \in B^A$  and  $\{a_{B0,B1,B2} : (B_0,B_1,B_2) \in R\}$  is a partition of the graph of  $\cdot$  into nonempty sets. Define  $f: S(R) \to B^A$  for all  $X \subseteq R$  by

$$f(X) = \cup \{a_{B0,B1,B2} \colon (B_0,B_1,B_2) \in X\}.$$

It is routine to verify that f is the desired embedding of  $B^{A/\theta}$  into  $B^A$  using the following facts.

(1) 
$$a_{\{e\},\{e\},\{e\}} = \{(e,e,e)\}.$$
 (Recall,  $e/\theta = \{e\}.$ )  
For  $\{i,j,k\} = \{0,1,2\},$ 

(2) 
$$d_{ii} = \bigcup \{a_{B0,B1,B2} : B_k = \{e\}\}.$$

$$(3) \ c_i a_{B0,B1,B2} = \cup \{ a_{C0,C1,C2} \colon C_i = B_i \}.$$

From Theorems 3 and 5 we have

COROLLARY 6. If G is a finite projective geometry with at least four points on a line, then  $A_G$  (or equivalently  $B^LG$ ) is loop representable.

## REFERENCES

- 1. Bruck, R. H., A Survey of Binary Systems, Springer-Verlag, 1966.
- 2. Dresher, M. And O. Ore, Theory of Multigroups, Amer. J. Math., 60(1938), 705-733.
- 3. Eaton, J. E., Theory of cogroups, Duke Math. J., 6(1940), 101-107.
- 4. Henkin, L., J. D. Monk, and A. Tarski, Cylindric Algebras, Part I, North Holland, 1971.

## 380

## STEPHEN D. COMER

- 5. Monk, J. D., Connections between Combinatorial Theory and Algebraic Logic, Studies in Algebraic Logic, Math Assoc. Amer., 1974, 58-91.
- 6. Seidenberg, A., Lectures in Projective Geometry, Van Nostrand, 1962.

The Citadel Charleston, South Carolina 29409

Received November 14, 1975